# Laser interferometric searches for signatures of dark matter and quantum gravity



**Yuta Michimura** 

道村 唯太 RESCEU, University of Tokyo <u>michimura@resceu.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp</u>



#### Plan of the Talk

- Basics of laser interferometry
- Search for ultralight vector dark matter - LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA, PRD 110, 042001 (2024)
- Search for ultralight axion dark matter
  - K. Nagano, T. Fujita, YM, I. Obata, PRL 123, 111301 (2019)
  - Y. Oshima+, PRD 108, 072005 (2023)
- Testing quantum nature of gravity
  - T. Fujita, Y. Kaku, A. Matsumura, YM, arXiv:2308.14552

#### Search for quantum fluctuations of space-time



#### Laser Interferometry

measures differential arm length change



#### Laser Interferometry

• measures differential arm length change



#### For Gravitational Waves





#### For Ultralight Dark Matter







#### **Ultralight Dark Matter**

- Bosonic ultralight field (<~1 eV) are well-motivated from cosmology
- Behaves as classical waves

$$f = 242 \text{ Hz} \left( \frac{m_{\text{DM}}}{10^{-12} \text{ eV}} \right)$$

Laser interferometers are sensitive to such oscillating changes (rather than "pulse" signals from particles)





#### Vector Boson Dark Matter

- Possible new physics beyond the standard model: New gauge symmetry and gauge boson
- New gauge boson can be dark matter
- B-L (baryon minus lepton number)
  - Conserved in the standard model
  - Motivations from neutrino mass, matter-antimatter asymmetry T. T. Yanagida,
  - Roughly 0.5 per neutron mass, <u>arXiv:2402.14514</u>
     but slightly different between materials
     Fused silica: 0.501
     Sapphire: 0.510
- Gauge boson DM gives oscillating force



## **Oscillating Force from Gauge Field**

• Acceleration of mirrors



- Almost no signal for symmetric cavity if cavity length is short (phase difference is 10<sup>-5</sup> rad @ 100 Hz for km cavity)
- How about using interferometric GW detectors?
   A. Pierce+, PRL 121, 061102 (2018)

#### Previous Searches with LIGO/Virgo

- Gauge boson dark matter search with LIGO O1 data and LIGO/Virgo O3 data have been done H-K Guo+, <u>Communications Physics 2, 155 (2019)</u> LIGO, Virgo, KAGRA Collaboration, <u>PRD 105, 063030 (2022)</u>
- Better constraint than equivalence principle tests
- Even better constraint could be obtained from KAGRA



#### Search with GW Detectors

- GW Detectors are sensitive to differential arm length (DARM) change
- Most of the signal is cancelled out (LIGO/Virgo case)



#### Search with KAGRA KAGRA

- KAGRA uses cryogenic sapphire mirrors for arm cavities, and fused silica mirrors for others
- KAGRA can do better than LIGO/Virgo which uses fused silica for all the mirrors<sub>r</sub>





## Search with KAGRA KAGRA



#### **KAGRA Vector DM Sensitivity**

- Auxiliary length channels have better design sensitivity than DARM (GW channel) at low mass range
- Sensitivity better than equivalence principle tests frequency\_(Hz) YM, T. Fujita, S. Morisaki, 10<sup>1</sup> 10<sup>3</sup> H. Nakatsuka, I. Obata,  $10^{-20}$ PRD 102, 102001 (2020)  $10^{-21}$ S. Morisaki, T. Fujita, YM, H. Nakatsuka, I. Obata,  $\mathcal{E}_B$ PRD 103, L051702 (2021)  $10^{-22}$ coupling Eöt-Wash 10<sup>-23</sup> torsion pendulum DARM  $10^{-24}$ (GW channel)  $10^{-25}$ MICROSCOPE mission aths MICH  $10^{-26}$  $10^{-12}$  $10^{-11}$ 10 gauge boson mass  $m_A$  (eV)

#### KAGRA First Results from KAGRA

- Using data from KAGRA O3GK run in 2020
- Still ~5 orders of magnitude worse than equivalence principle tests
- Demonstrated the feasibility of using auxiliary channels for astrophysics
- New data will be available from O4b (~June 2025) and beyond

LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA, <u>PRD 110</u>, 042001 (2024) (Paper written by J. Kume with 1800 authors!)





#### **Axion Dark Matter**

 Many experiments to search for ALPs through axion-photon coupling, especially by using magnetic fields (but ours don't)



#### **Polarization Modulation from Axions**

- Axion-photon coupling  $(\frac{g_{a\gamma}}{4}aF_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu})$  gives different phase velocity between left-handed and righthanded circular polarizations
  - $c_{\rm L/R} = \sqrt{1 \pm \frac{g_{a\gamma}a_0m_a}{k}} \sin(m_a t + \delta_{\tau})$ coupling constant axion field
- Linear polarization will be modulated p-pol sidebands will be generated from s-pol
- Search can be done without magnetic field



## Optical Cavity to Amplify the Signal

- Polarization rotation is small for short optical path

   Laser
- Optical cavities can increase the optical path, but the polarization is flipped by mirror reflections



• Bow-tie cavity can amplify the rotation



#### Linear Cavities for Axion Search

- Polarization flip at mirror reflection can be used to enhance the signal when the round-trip time equals odd-multiples of axion oscillation period
- Long baseline linear cavities in gravitational wave detectors are suitable



#### Linear Cavities for Axion Search

- Polarization flip at mirror reflection can be used to enhance the signal when the round-trip time equals odd-multiples of axion oscillation period
- Long baseline linear cavities in gravitational wave detectors are suitable



#### **Estimated Reach**

Better than CAST below 10<sup>-10</sup> eV \* Shot noise limited, 1-year observation  $10^{-6}$  $|g_{a\gamma}|$  (GeV<sup>-1</sup> **PVLAS 2016 ALPS-I 2010**  $10^{-7}$ **OSQAR 2015** 10<sup>-8</sup> **SHAFT 2021** umico 2008 ABRA 10-cm 2021  $10^{-9}$ 2024 10-1 coupling **ĀLPS-II** 10-11 **IAXO** 10-12 Ation NGC1275 2020 10-13 axion-photon **KAGRA**  $10^{-14}$ DANCE LIGO  $10^{-15}$ ABRACADABRA  $10^{-16}$ ADMX 2010+2018 10-17  $10^{-18}$  $10^{-17} 10^{-16} 10^{-15} 10^{-14} 10^{-13} 10^{-12} 10^{-11} 10^{-10} 10^{-9} 10^{-8} 10^{-7} 10^{-6} 10^{-5} 10^{-4} 10^{-3} 10^{-2} 10^{-1} 10^{0} 10^{-10} 10^$ axion mass  $m_a$  (eV) /4

## Relationship with Cosmic Birefringence

- Same principle
- Two-axion model can explain both cosmic birefringence and dark matter in the mass range guyle of DANCE and 10<sup>-10</sup> GW detectors



I. Obata, JCAP **09**, 062 (2022)





### Status of DANCE



26

- First result from May 2021 run Y. Oshima+, PRD 108, 072005 (2023)
- Major upgrade using wavelength tunable laser ongoing



#### Axion Search with GW Detectors

- Polarization optics installed in KACRA transmission in 2021
   First data taking
  - from June 2025
- Prototype experiment using Caltech 40m interferometer also ongoing to test calibration methods





## **Testing Quantum Nature of Gravity**

- Photon going to X arm or Y arm is in quantum superposition
- Mirrors pushed or not pushed by radiation pressure is in quantum superposition (this is not experimentally What happens if you try to see it with a verified yet; gravitational  $|Y\rangle$ torsion pendulum? decoherence?) <u> /////</u> Photons  $|not pushed\rangle + |pushed\rangle$  How about gravitational field of massive mirrors?

#### **Semiclassical Gravity**

 In semiclassical gravity (Schrödinger-Newton model), quantum matter is coupled to a classical gravitational field through expectation values

Both wavefunctions
 give the same – classical gravity

Differential mode

Common mode

People have been proposing experiments to falsify this

• For example, through gravity-induced entanglement



#### • Also, see

H. Miao+, <u>PRA 101, 063804 (2020)</u>

A. Datta & H. Miao, Quantum Science and Technology 6, 045014 (2021)

#### **BMV Experiment Proposals**

• Gravity-induced entanglement can be tested with adjacent matter interferometers



#### **Decoherence and Free-Fall Time**

- Decoherence estimates suggest
   T < 1 K and P < 10<sup>-16</sup> Pa are required
- Also, free-fall time and height are in the orders of ~1 sec and ~10 m
- Sounds tough…



**Table 3.** Free-fall times *t* and heights  $h = \frac{1}{2}gt^2$ , with  $g \simeq 9.8$  m s<sup>-2</sup>, required to generate the amount *E* of entanglement at fixed values of temperature T and pressure *P* for the proposals of BM and Krisnanda.

Proposal	<b>T</b> (K)	P (Pa)	E	<i>T</i> (s)	$H(\mathbf{m})$
BM	1	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-2}$	0.15	0.1
	1	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-1}$	1.5	11
	1	$10^{-15}$	No generation	/	/
	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-15}$	No generation	/	/
Krisnanda	1	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-2}$	1.1	6.2
	1	$10^{-16}$	$10^{-1}$	2.9	42
	1	$10^{-15}$	No generation	/	/
	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-15}$	$10^{-2}$	1.2	7.6

S. Rijavec+, New J. Phys. 23, 043040 (2021)

#### What is the Best Oscillator?

- We computed the amount of entanglement for arbitrary quadratic potential
- Hamiltonian



T. Fujita, Y. Kaku, A. Matsumura, YM, <u>arXiv:2308.14552</u> 33

#### Inverted Oscillators are the Best

• Logarithmic negativity when  $\lambda \equiv \lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ 



#### **Preparing Inverted Oscillators**

- Sandwich configuration for trapping a mirror all optically YM, Y. Kuwahara+, Optics Express 25, 13799 (2017)
- Trap in horizontal motion demonstrated
   T. Kawasaki+, PRA 102, 053520 (2020)
- Can also be used to anti-trap



#### Procedure to Switch the Trap

• First, trap strongly to prepare narrow wavefunctions



#### Procedure to Switch the Trap

- First, trap strongly to prepare narrow wavefunctions
- And then switch to anti-trap to broaden the wavefunction fast (this can be done by effectively switching the cavity geometry)



#### **Example Setup**

- ~1 kHz anti-spring for 0.1 mg mirror can be created with intra-cavity power of ~30 kW
- Time to generate  $E_N = 10^{-2}$ AOM  $\tau_{\rm ent} = 4.2 \omega_{\rm kHz}^{-1/3} \, {
  m sec} \quad {
  m for free-fall}$ laser 300 times faster UM1 HWP<sup>I</sup>  $\tau_{\rm ent} = 1.3 \times 10^{-2} \omega_{\rm kHz}^{-1/3} \, {\rm sec}$ PBS  $a_{U2}$ for inverted UM<sub>2</sub>  $m = 0.1 \, \text{mg}$  No free-fall necessary Levitation mirror a

 $P_1 = 30$ 

 $a_1 = 2 m$ 

laser

Can be repeated multiple times
 to improve statistics

#### Status of the Levitation Experiment

Fabrication of 0.1-1 mg scale mirror with a curvature is a challenge, and we are collaborating with LMA and ANU for mirror fabrication and characterization









Coated 1-inch dia. 0.1 mm thick mirrors

**Characterization at UTokyo/ANU** 39

# Quantum fluctuations of spacetime

#### **Quantum Fluctuations of Spacetime**

According to pixellon model (quantum gravity with a scalar field), length fluctuates with Verlinde & Zurek,

$$L^2 \sim \alpha l_{\rm p} L/(4\pi)$$

Planck length

not  $\delta L \sim l_{\rm p}$ 

K. M. Zurek,

arXiv:2205.01799

PLB 822, 136663 (2021)

- Parametrized by the power of noise  $\alpha$  (Natural benchmark  $\alpha \sim 1$ )



Arm length

#### Search with LIGO Detector

• Search below signal peak done with 2019-2020 data



#### Search with High Frequency Data

- Data acquisition at 524 kHz installed for current observing run  $\rightarrow \alpha \sim 10^{-2} {\rm search}$  possible



#### **Quantum Correlation Enhancement**

Quantum correlation will enhance the sensitivity
 beyond shot noise limit



#### Summary

- You can do many things with laser interferometers
  - Ultralight dark matter
  - Quantum nature of gravity
  - Quantum fluctuations of space-time

#### Acknowledgements

SAKIGAK

