Cosmic Birefringence Measurement -Implications for the axion search-

Ippei Obata (Max-Planck-Institute for Astrophysics, JSPS fellow)

Reference arXiv: 2108.02150

19.10.2021 SYRTE-UTokyo seminar

Dark sectors in our universe



We know only 5 % in our universe!

<u>Question</u>

Are these sectors independent components?

What if they are related by a common origin?



Unified models for dark matter and dark energy have been developed

- Generalized Chaplygin gas: Bento, Bertolami & Sen (2002); Makler, Oliveira, Waga (2003); ...
- **k-essence:** Scherrer (2004); Giannakis & Hu (2005); ...
- **Fast transition models:** Bruni, Lazkoz & Fernandez (2013); Leanizbarrutia, Fernandez & Tereno (2017); ...

Overview of this talk



Axion can be a candidate for both dark matter and dark energy

Motivation

- The constraints on this scenario are potentially connected by the measurement of cosmic birefringence effect in CMB!
- ✓ We can do a comprementary search between CMB observations (axion dark energy) and resonant cavity experiments (axion dark matter)



<u>Cosmic birefringence</u>

1

Carroll, Field & Jackiw (1990); Harari & Sikivie (1992); Carroll (1998); ...

Axion behaves as a birefringent material in our universe

Via the axion-photon coupling, axion differentiates the phase velocities of circular-polarized photon

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{4} g_{a\gamma} \varphi F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} , \quad F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}$$

Dispersion relation: $\ddot{A}_{k}^{\mathrm{L/R}} + \omega_{\mathrm{L/R}}^{2} A_{k}^{\mathrm{L/R}} = 0, \quad c_{\mathrm{L/R}} \equiv \frac{\omega_{\mathrm{L/R}}}{k} = \sqrt{1 \pm \frac{g_{a\gamma} \dot{\varphi}}{k}}$



 \rightarrow leading to the rotation of linear-polarization direction

Birefringence by axion DM



Axion DM induces the polarization rotation oscillating in time with a frequency of axion mass:

$$f_c = \frac{m_a}{2\pi} \simeq 2.4 \mathrm{Hz} \left(\frac{m_a}{10^{-14} \mathrm{eV}}\right)$$

- Possible to observe by...
- Resonant cavities: Melissinos (2009); DeRocco & Hook (2018); Obata, Fujita & Michimura (2018); Nagano+ (2019,2021); DANCE (2020); ...
- Astrophysical polarimetric surveys: Fujita, Tazaki & Toma (2019); Chen+ (2020,2021); ...
- **CMB:** Finelli & Garaverni (2009); Lee, Liu & Ng (2014); ...

Birefringence by axion quintessence

(Fukugita & Yanagida (1994); Friemann+ 1995; J.E.Kim 1999+; ...)

Axion with mass smaller than current Hubble scale behaves as a quintessence





Angular power spectra

 $\langle T(\boldsymbol{\ell})T^*(\boldsymbol{\ell'})\rangle = (2\pi)^2 \delta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{\ell}-\boldsymbol{\ell'})C_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}^{TT}$ $\langle E(\boldsymbol{\ell}) E^*(\boldsymbol{\ell'}) \rangle = (2\pi)^2 \delta^{(2)} (\boldsymbol{\ell} - \boldsymbol{\ell'}) C_{\ell}^{EE}$ $\langle B(\boldsymbol{\ell})B^*(\boldsymbol{\ell'})\rangle = (2\pi)^2 \delta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{\ell}-\boldsymbol{\ell'})C_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}^{BB}$



- Power spectra of T and E-mode have been precisely measured
- B-mode is still dominated by instrumental noises

(especially for the inflationary B-mode)

→ More to come in next decade!

Simons Observatory CMB-S4 LiteBIRD...

Parity flip in polarization pattern



Parity-even: C_{ℓ}^{TT} , C_{ℓ}^{EE} , C_{ℓ}^{BB} , C_{ℓ}^{TE} (parity-invariant theory, well measured) Parity-odd: C_{ℓ}^{TB} , $C_{\ell}^{EB} \rightarrow$ parity-violating physics, not well measured

<EB> from cosmic birefringence

Lue, Wang & Kamionkowski (1999); Feng+ (2005,2006); Liu, Lee & Ng (2006); ...



Parity-violating interaction

e.g.
$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{int}} = rac{1}{4} g_{a\gamma} \varphi F_{\mu
u} ilde{F}^{\mu
u}$$

produces the parity-odd EB correlation

$$\begin{split} C_{\ell}^{EB,o} &= \frac{1}{2}\sin(4\beta)\left(C_{\ell}^{EE,\text{CMB}} - C_{\ell}^{BB,\text{CMB}}\right) \\ \uparrow \text{ measured value } &+ \cos(4\beta)C_{\ell}^{EB,\text{CMB}} \end{split}$$

↑usually assume 0

History of measurements (WMAP, Planck, ACT,...)

Non-zero <EB> has been detected.

But, not reliable estimates due to the systematic uncertainty.

<EB> from instrumental effect

Wu (2008); Miller (2009); Komatsu (2010); ...



- \succ Miscalibration of the polarization angle α also contributes to the birefringent signal
- The past measurements have detected the angle

$$\theta = \alpha + \beta$$

How to break degeneracy of $\alpha \& \beta$

Minami+ (2019); ...

Point: Intrinsic birefringence angle β is **proportional to the path length of photon**



Credit: ESA

(note: axion is assumed to be quintessence)

Birefringence angle from LSS (z ~ 1100):

$$\theta_{\rm CMB} = \alpha + \beta$$



$$\theta_{\rm fg} = \alpha \text{ only}$$

Foreground-based α-calibration

Minami & Komatsu (2020);

calibrate α by using the polarized emission from the galactic foregrounds and measures the intrinsic birefringence angle β by Planck 2018 polarization data



Angles	Results (deg)
β	0.35 ± 0.14
$lpha_{100}$	-0.28 ± 0.13
$lpha_{143}$	0.07 ± 0.12
α_{217}	-0.07 ± 0.11
$lpha_{353}$	-0.09 ± 0.11

$$\beta = 0.35 \pm 0.14 \text{ deg } (68\% \text{ C.L.})$$

(excludes the null result at 99.2% C.L.)

```
Tantalizing hint of new physics!
```

Implication for the axion search



Reconsidering single-field model...

Friemann+ (1995); ...

$$V(\varphi)$$

$$V(\varphi)$$

$$V(\phi) = m_{\phi}^{2} f_{\phi}^{2} \left[1 - \cos\left(\frac{\phi}{f_{\phi}}\right) \right]$$

$$\int f_{\phi} = 14M_{\text{Pl}} \left(\frac{\Omega_{\phi}}{0.69}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{m_{\phi}/H_{0}}{0.1}\right)^{-1} > M_{\text{Pl}}$$

requires a super-Planckian decay constant or a fine-tuning of initial axion displacement

 \succ To get the measured β , a large anomaly coefficient is required

$$g_{\phi\gamma} = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \frac{c_{\phi\gamma}}{f_{\phi}} \qquad |c_{\phi\gamma}| \simeq 2.3 \times 10^3 \left(\frac{\beta}{0.35 \text{deg}}\right) \left(\frac{m_{\phi}/H_0}{0.1}\right)^{-2} \gg 1$$

16/26

Our study

Multiple axion model



Inflation: Kim, Nilles & Peloso (2005), ...

Flat direction can be realized by an alignment of the potentials from multiple axions:

e.g.)

$$V(\phi, \chi) = \Lambda_1^4 \left[1 - \cos\left(\frac{\phi}{F_{\phi 1}} + \frac{\chi}{F_{\chi 1}}\right) \right] + \Lambda_2^4 \left[1 - \cos\left(\frac{\phi}{F_{\phi 2}} + \frac{\chi}{F_{\chi 2}}\right) \right]$$
with $\boxed{F_{\chi 1}} = \frac{F_{\chi 2}}{F_{\chi 2}}$ (exactly flat) $(F_i < M_{\rm Pl})$

The misalignment can be characterized by the deviation from the above condition

Linear combinations of two-fields provide two <u>(nearly) massless</u> & <u>massive direction</u>

Alignment axion model (1)

arXiv: 2108.02150

Consider the aligned cosine potentials:

$$V(\phi,\chi) = \Lambda_1^4 \left[1 - \cos\left(\frac{\phi}{F_{\phi 1}} + \frac{\chi}{F_{\chi 1}}\right) \right] + \Lambda_2^4 \left[1 - \cos\left(\frac{\phi}{F_{\phi 2}} + \frac{\chi}{F_{\chi 2}}\right) \right]$$

For simplicity, we assume $F_{\phi 1} = F_{\phi 1} \equiv F_{\phi}, \ F_{\chi 2} = F_{\chi 1}(1 + \epsilon)$

> The misalignment of the potential is characterized by $\epsilon \ll 1$ \rightarrow nearly flatness



Alignment axion model (2)

arXiv: 2108.02150

Then, two mass eigen bases can be found:

$$\xi = \frac{F_{\phi}}{\sqrt{F_{\phi}^2 + F_{\chi 1}^2}}\phi - \frac{F_{\chi 1}}{\sqrt{F_{\phi}^2 + F_{\chi 1}^2}}\chi , \quad \psi = -\frac{F_{\chi 1}}{\sqrt{F_{\phi}^2 + F_{\chi 1}^2}}\phi - \frac{F_{\phi}}{\sqrt{F_{\phi}^2 + F_{\chi 1}^2}}\chi$$

with mass

$$m_{\xi}^{2} \simeq \frac{\Lambda_{2}^{4}}{F_{\phi}^{2} + F_{\chi 1}^{2}} \epsilon^{2} , \qquad m_{\psi}^{2} \simeq \frac{F_{\phi}^{2} + F_{\chi 1}^{2}}{F_{\phi}^{2} F_{\chi 1}^{2}} \Lambda_{1}^{4} \qquad \qquad \frac{m_{\psi}}{m_{\xi}} \simeq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\Lambda_{1}^{2}}{\Lambda_{2}^{2}} \frac{F_{\phi}^{2} + F_{\chi 1}^{2}}{F_{\phi} F_{\chi 1}} \gg 1$$
(assuming that $\Lambda_{1} \gg \Lambda_{2}$)

> In terms of (ξ, ψ) , the cosine potential can be rewritten as

$$V(\psi, \ \xi) \simeq \Lambda_1^4 \left[1 - \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{F_{\phi}^2 + F_{\chi_1}^2}}{F_{\phi}F_{\chi_1}}\psi\right) \right] + \Lambda_2^4 \left[1 - \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{F_{\phi}^2 + F_{\chi_1}^2}}{F_{\phi}F_{\chi_1}}\psi - \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{F_{\phi}^2 + F_{\chi_1}^2}}\xi\right) \right]$$

Alignment axion model (3)

arXiv: 2108.02150

The effective field ranges (decay constants) of axions are obtained:

$$\tilde{F}_{\xi} \simeq \frac{\sqrt{F_{\phi}^2 + F_{\chi 1}^2}}{\epsilon} , \qquad \tilde{F}_{\psi} \simeq \frac{F_{\phi} F_{\chi 1}}{\sqrt{F_{\phi}^2 + F_{\chi 1}^2}}$$

 \blacktriangleright Even if the original axion decay constants are sub-Planckian $~(F_i < M_{
m Pl})$,

$$ilde{F}_{\xi}\gg M_{
m Pl}\,\,{
m as}\,\,\epsilon o 0$$
 (ξ can act as dark energy!)

Axion-photon couplings

arXiv: 2108.02150

The interactions of photon to the (original) axion fields are given by

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{\alpha}{8\pi} \left(\frac{\phi}{F_{\phi\gamma}} + \frac{\chi}{F_{\chi\gamma}} \right) F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$$

> In terms of (ψ, ξ) , the effective coupling constants are obtained:

$$g_{\xi\gamma} = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \frac{c_{\xi\gamma}}{\tilde{F}_{\xi}} , \quad c_{\xi\gamma} \equiv \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{F_{\phi}}{F_{\phi\gamma}} - \frac{F_{\chi1}}{F_{\chi\gamma}} \right) ,$$
$$g_{\psi\gamma} = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \frac{c_{\psi\gamma}}{\tilde{F}_{\psi}} , \quad c_{\psi\gamma} \equiv -\left(\frac{F_{\phi}}{F_{\chi\gamma}} + \frac{F_{\chi1}}{F_{\phi\gamma}} \right) \frac{F_{\phi}F_{\chi1}}{F_{\phi}^2 + F_{\chi1}^2}$$

> g_ $\xi\gamma$ is fixed by the measured birefringence angle $\beta = 0.35 \pm 0.14$ \rightarrow also constrain the parameter space of g_ $\psi\gamma$ as axion DM

Parameter Search (1)

arXiv: 2108.02150

► (Effective) axion quintessence field range: $\tilde{F}_{\xi} \simeq 14 M_{\rm Pl} \left(\frac{\Omega_{\phi}}{0.69}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{m_{\phi}/H_0}{0.1}\right)^{-1}$

leads to the tuning of the small misalignment parameter:

$$\epsilon \simeq 7.0 \times 10^{-2} \frac{\sqrt{F_{\phi}^2 + F_{\chi 1}^2}}{M_{\rm Pl}} \left(\frac{\Omega_{\xi}}{0.69}\right)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{m_{\xi}/H_0}{0.1}\right)$$

> Birefringence condition: $|c_{\xi\gamma}| \simeq 2.3 \times 10^3 \left(\frac{\beta}{0.35 \text{deg}}\right) \left(\frac{m_{\xi}/H_0}{0.1}\right)^{-2}$

leads to the condition of the ratio of decay constants:

$$\frac{F_{\phi}}{F_{\phi\gamma}} - \frac{F_{\chi1}}{F_{\chi\gamma}} \bigg| \simeq 1.6 \times 10^2 \frac{\sqrt{F_{\phi}^2 + F_{\chi1}^2}}{M_{\rm Pl}} \left(\frac{\beta}{0.35 \,\mathrm{deg}}\right) \left(\frac{\Omega_{\xi}}{0.69}\right)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{m_{\xi}/H_0}{0.1}\right)^{-1}$$

Parameter Search (2)

arXiv: 2108.02150

Axion DM abundance by misalignment production: \$\lambda

$$\Omega_{\psi} \simeq \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{\tilde{F}_{\psi}}{M_{\rm Pl}} \right)^2 (9\Omega_r)^{3/4} \left(\frac{m_{\psi}}{H_0} \right)^{1/2}$$

Marsh & Ferreira (2010);

24 / 26

leads to the condition of axion DM decay constant:

$$\tilde{F}_{\psi} \simeq 3.8 \times 10^{-2} M_{\rm Pl} \left(\frac{\Omega_{\psi}}{0.31}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{m_{\psi}}{10^{-22} {\rm eV}}\right)^{-1/4}$$

The axion DM-photon coupling constant is obtained by:

$$\begin{split} \left|g_{\psi\gamma} = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \frac{c_{\psi\gamma}}{\tilde{F}_{\psi}} , \quad c_{\psi\gamma} \equiv -\left(\frac{F_{\phi}}{F_{\chi\gamma}} + \frac{F_{\chi1}}{F_{\phi\gamma}}\right) \frac{F_{\phi}F_{\chi1}}{F_{\phi}^2 + F_{\chi1}^2} \\ \left|g_{\psi\gamma}\right| \simeq 2.0 \times 10^{-18} \text{ GeV}^{-1} \frac{F_{\chi1}}{M_{\text{Pl}}} \left(\frac{\Omega_{\xi}}{0.69}\right)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{\Omega_{\psi}}{0.31}\right)^{-1/2} \\ \times \left(\frac{\beta}{0.35 \text{deg}}\right) \left(\frac{m_{\xi}/H_0}{0.1}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{m_{\psi}}{10^{-22} \text{eV}}\right)^{1/4} . \end{split}$$
(assuming the region $F_{\phi} \ll F_{\chi1}, F_{\chi1} \ll F_{\chi\gamma}$)

Parameter space of axion DM



Summary & Outlook

- We show that a recent constraint on the cosmic birefringence effect can connect the constraints on axion as dark energy and dark matter, respectively.
- The resultant parameter space of axion DM is potentially testable with future axion DM experimental searches.
- The extension of this scenario to other multiple axion models? The compatibility with the swampland criteria in string theory?