14th Marcel Grossmann Meeting(University of Rome "La Sapienza") July 14, 2015

# Higher Order Test of Lorentz Invariance with an Optical Ring Cavity

Yuta Michimura Department of Physics, University of Tokyo

# Summary

- compared the speed of light propagating in opposite directions
- using a double-pass optical ring cavity
- put new limits on higher order Lorentz violation in photons

$$\left|\frac{\delta c}{c}\right| \lesssim 10^{-15}$$

- Y. Michimura+, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 200401 (2013)
- Y. Michimura+, Phys. Rev. D 88, 111101(R) (20

silicon

 $c(\theta + \pi)$ 

# SR and Lorentz violation

- Special Relativity (1905) speed of light is constant
- Lorentz invariance in electrodynamics
- no one could find any violation
- but...
  - quantum gravity suggests violation at some level e.g.  $\delta c/c \sim 10^{-17}$

D. Colladay and V. Alan Kostelecký:PRD 58 (1998) 116002

anisotropy in CMB
 possible preferred frame?
 → motivation for testing SR



http://www.cpt.univ-mrs.fr/

# **Test of Special Relativity**

- test of constancy of speed of light
- two types of test: even-parity and odd-parity



# Anisotropy in the Speed of Light

• can be expanded with spherical harmonics

 $c + \delta c \blacktriangleleft$ 

• multipole anisotropy comes from higher order Lorentz violation  $\ell_{=0}$   $c + \delta c$ 

*l*=2

 $\dot{c} + \delta c$ 

*l*=3

 $\delta c$ 

## **Previous Limits**

- l = even limits with even-parity experiments
- l = odd limits with odd-parity experiments

even-parity experiments using orthogonal linear cavities

Ch. Eisele+: <u>PRL 103, 090401 (2009)</u> S. R. Parker+: <u>PRL 106, 180401 (2011)</u>

 $\left| \frac{1}{2} \right| \lesssim 10^{-17}$ 

odd-parity experiment ving asymmetric ring cavity

F. Baynes+: PRL 108, 260801 (2012)

## **Our Limits**

- improved limits on l = 1 (dipole) anisotropy
- new limits on l = 3 (hexapole) anisotropy



# **Optical Ring Cavity**

sensitive to LV when a dielectric is contained



•  $\nu_+ - \nu_-$  gives LV signal (null measurement)

#### How Do We Measure 1/4

• inject laser beam in CCW



#### How Do We Measure 2/4

• lock laser frequency to CCW resonance ( $\nu_+$ )



#### How Do We Measure 3/4

reflect the beam back into the cavity in CW



#### How Do We Measure 4/4

 LV signal obtained from cavity reflection (null measurement) CCW CW silicon  $\nu_{-} = \nu + \delta \nu$  $\nu_{+} = \nu - \delta \nu$  $\nu_+$  $\nu_+$ Laser LV signal frequency  $\propto 
u_+ - 
u_$ servo

## **Experimental Setup**

- frequency comparison using double-pass setup
- rotate and modulate LV signal



#### Photo of the Optics





40 cm

### **Observation Data**

- from July 2012 to October 2013
- 393 days, 1.67 million rotations
- duty cycle: 53% (64% after Oct 2012)



## Data Analysis 1/3

- demodulate each 1 rotation data with  $\omega_{
m rot}$ 



## Data Analysis 2/3

- next, demodulate 1 day data with  $\omega_\oplus$ 



## Data Analysis 3/3

• higher order LV appear at higher harmonics



## Demodulation Amps( $\omega_{\rm rot}$ )

zero consistent at 2σ
 → no significant LV can be claimed



# Demodulation Amps( $3\omega_{rot}$ )

zero consistent at 2σ
 → no significant LV can be claimed



# **Our Limits on Anisotropy**

- each demodulation amplitude is related to each anisotropy component
- limits three dipole (l = 1) components

$$\left| \frac{\delta c}{c} \right| \lesssim 6 \times 10^{-15}$$

more than an order of magnitude improvement

• limits on seven hexapole (l = 3) components  $\left| \frac{\delta c}{c} \right| \lesssim 2 \times 10^{-15}$ new limit

## **Our Limits on SME Coefficients**

- Standard Model Extension (SME)

   [D. Colladay and V. Alan Kostelecký: <u>PRD 58, 116002 (1998)</u>]
- test theory with all realistic Lorentz violation
- our result put new limits on "camouflage coefficients" of LV in photon sector

limits on LV of	_	Measurement	Coefficient	Dimension
	٦	$(-0.1 \pm 1.5) \times 10^3 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$	$(\overline{c}_{F}^{(6)})_{110}^{(0E)}$	d = 6
dimension 6		$(-0.8 \pm 1.1) \times 10^3 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$	$\operatorname{Re}[(\overline{c}_{F}^{(6)})_{111}^{(0E)}]$	
$10^3 C_{0} V^{-2}$		$(-0.6 \pm 1.0) \times 10^3 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$	$\mathrm{Im}[(\overline{c}_{F}^{(6)})_{111}^{(0E)}]$	
IU Gev	٦	$(-0.2 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{19} \text{ GeV}^{-4}$	$-0.020(\overline{c}_{F}^{(8)})_{110}^{(0E)} + (\overline{c}_{F}^{(8)})_{310}^{(0E)}$	d = 8
		$(1.4 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{19} \text{ GeV}^{-4}$	$\operatorname{Re}[-0.020(\overline{c}_F^{(8)})_{111}^{(0E)} + (\overline{c}_F^{(8)})_{311}^{(0E)}]$	
limits on LV of		$(0.1 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{19} \text{ GeV}^{-4}$	$\operatorname{Re}[-0.020(\overline{c}_F^{(8)})_{111}^{(0E)} + (\overline{c}_F^{(8)})_{311}^{(0E)}]$	
		$(-0.8 \pm 3.3) \times 10^{19} \text{ GeV}^{-4}$	$(\overline{c}_{F}^{(8)})_{330}^{(0E)}$	
dimension 8		$(-0.3 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{19} \text{ GeV}^{-4}$	${ m Re}[(\overline{c}_F^{(8)})_{331}^{(0E)}]$	
$10^{19} \text{ C}_{2} \text{V}^{-4}$		$(-2.8 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{19} \text{ GeV}^{-4}$	${ m Im}[(\overline{c}_F^{(8)})_{331}^{(0E)}]$	
10 Gev		$(2.2 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{19} \text{ GeV}^{-4}$	${ m Re}[(\overline{c}_F^{(8)})_{332}^{(0E)}]$	
		$(0.2 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{19} \text{ GeV}^{-4}$	$\mathrm{Im}[(\overline{c}_{F}^{(8)})_{332}^{(0E)}]$	
00		$(-0.1 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{19} \text{ GeV}^{-4}$	${ m Re}[(\overline{c}_F^{(8)})_{333}^{(0E)}]$	
23		$(-0.1 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{19} \text{ GeV}^{-4}$	$\operatorname{Im}[(\overline{c}_{F}^{(8)})_{333}^{(0E)}]$	

# Upgrade of the Apparatus

- current noise level is limited by vibration noise from rotation

   <sup>10<sup>-9</sup></sup>
   <sup>10<sup>-9</sup></sup>
- semi-monolithic optical bench to reduce vibration sensitivity



continuous rotation for more stable operation

#### **Apparatus Comparison**



25

# Summary and Outlook

silicon

26

#### **Summary**

- compared the speed of light propagating in opposite directions
- using a double-pass optical ring cavity
- put new limits on higher order LV in photons

#### <u>Outlook</u>

- currently upgrading the apparatus
- semi-monolithic optics
- continuous rotation

#### **Additional Slides**

## Systematic Errors

<ul> <li>10% of statistical error at maximum</li> <li>gravity</li> </ul>							
Cause	Amount	Ratio					
Sagnac effect	< 1mrad/sec	<2%	Offset				
turntable tilt	< 0.2 mrad	<10%					
detuning	-	3%	7				
TF meas.	_	3%					
laser frequency actuation meas.	12.9±0.6 MHz/V	5%	calibration				
refractive index	$3.69 \pm 0.01$	0.4%					
cavity length	192±1 mm	0.5%	28				

#### **Some Photos**



## **Cheat Sheet**

- rotation frequency f\_rot = 0.083 Hz (T\_rot = 12 sec)
- wavelength  $\lambda = 1550$  nm
- laser frequency v = 1.9e14 Hz
- input power P0 = 1 mW
- finesse F = 120
- cavity length L = 140 mm
- silicon length d = 20 mm
- silicon refractive index n = 3.69
- silicon dn/dT = 2e-4 / K
- silicon thermal expansion = 3e-6 /K •
- Super Invar thermal exp. = ~ 1e-7 /K
- silicon AR loss I < 0.5 % / surface
- incident angle  $\theta$  = 9.5 deg
- FSR = 1.5 GHz
- FWHM = 12 MHz

- current sensitivity ~ 6e-13 /rtHz (~ 4e-11 /rtHz when rotated)
- shot noise ~ 6e-16 /rtHz
- thermal noise ~ 8e-16 /rtHz (all @ 0.1 Hz)
- Sun speed in CMBR = 369 km/s
- orbital speed of Earth = 30 km/s
- rotational speed of Earth = 0.4 km/s
  - History Jul 2011: idea Nov 2011: first run (10hour) Jul 2012: data taking started Oct 2012: continuous data taking Oct 2013: shut down
- cost < ~200万円