Formation Flying Meetup

May 9, 2019

km-scale Space Gravitational Wave Detector

Yuta Michimura Department of Physics, University of Tokyo

Science-Driven Approach C-DECIGO (10 kg, 10 km Fabry-Perot)

Motivations

- Demonstration of multiband gravitational wave detection
 Detect BBHs and BNSs a few days before the merger
- IMBH search with unprecedented sensitivity



Existing Space GW Projects

	LISA	TianQin	B-DECIGO
Arm length	2.5e6 km	1.7e5 km	100 km
Interferometry	Optical transponder	Optical transponder	Fabry-Pérot cavity
Laser frequency stabilization	Reference cavity, 1064 nm	Reference cavity, 1064 nm	lodine, 515 nm
Orbit	Heliocentric	Geocentric, facing J0806.3+1527	Geocentric (TBD)
Flight configuration	Constellation flight	Constellation flight	Formation flight
Test mass	1.96 kg	2.45 kg	30 kg
Force noise req.	8e-15 N/rtHz Achieved PRL 120, 061101 (2018)	7e-15 N/rtHz <u>CQG 33, 035010 (2016)</u>	1e-16 N/rtHz 4



Horizon Distance



Horizon Distance



C-DECIGO

- Target sensitivity
 C-DECIGO
 = B-DECIGO x 30
 = DECIGO x 300
- For GW150914
 ⁴Department *E-mail: iso and GW170817
 Received Fell like binaries, C-DECIGO can measure coalescence time to
 < ~150 sec a few days before the merger

S. Isoyama+, PTEP 2018, 073E01 (2018)

Multiband gravitational-wave astronomy: Observing binary inspirals with a decihertz detector, B-DECIGO

Soichiro Isoyama^{1,*}, Hiroyuki Nakano², and Takashi Nakamura^{3,4}

¹International Institute of Physics, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Campus Universitario, Lagoa Nova, Natal-RN 59078-970, Brazil

²Faculty of Law, Ryukoku University, Kyoto 612-8577, Japan

³Center for Gravitational Physics, Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

⁴Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan *E-mail: isoyama@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Received February 27, 2018; Revised June 13, 2018; Accepted June 14, 2018; Published July 30, 2018

An evolving Japanese gravitational-wave (GW) mission in the decihertz band, B-DECIGO (DECihertz laser Interferometer Gravitational wave Observatory), will enable us to detect GW150914-like binary black holes, GW170817-like binary neutron stars, and intermediatemass binary black holes out to cosmological distances. The B-DECIGO band slots in between the aLIGO-Virgo-KAGRA-IndIGO (hectohertz) and LISA (millihertz) bands for broader bandwidth; the sources described emit GWs for weeks to years across the multiple bands to accumulate high signal-to-noise ratios. This suggests the possibility that joint detection would greatly improve the parameter estimation of the binaries. We examine B-DECIGO's ability to measure binary parameters and assess to what extent multiband analysis could improve such measurement. Using non-precessing post-Newtonian waveforms with the Fisher matrix approach, we find for systems like GW150914 and GW170817 that B-DECIGO can measure the mass ratio to within < 0.1%, the individual black-hole spins to within < 10%, and the coalescence time to within < 5 s about a week before alerting aLIGO and electromagnetic facilities. Prior information from B-DECIGO for aLIGO can further reduce the uncertainty in the measurement of, e.g., certain neutron star tidally induced deformations by a factor of ~ 6 , and potentially determine the spin-induced neutron star quadrupole moment. Joint LISA and B-DECIGO measurement will also be able to recover the masses and spins of intermediate-mass binary black holes at percent-level precision. However, there will be a large systematic bias in these raults due to post-Newtonian approximation of exact GW signals.



Force Noise

- Requires 1e-16 N/rtHz for $mL = 90\,\mathrm{kg}\cdot\mathrm{km}$



Quantum Noise and Topology

- Optical transponder (LISA/TianQin-style) Cannot dig the bucket unless you increase the size of the test mass
- Michelson interferometer
 - arm length: 30 km
 - mirror mass: 3 kg (diffraction loss is small enough)
 - input power: 3 W (arm should be long to reduce power) gives you C-DECIGO target
- Fabry-Perot interferometer (DECIGO-style)
 - arm length: 3 km
 - mirror mass: 30 kg
 - finesse: 300
 - input power: 0.01 W gives you C-DECIGO target (one example)

Michelson or Fabry-Perot

Fabry-Perot seems reasonable choice



Mirror Mass and Arm Length

• Force noise requirement

$$h_{\rm f} = \frac{f}{m\omega^2 L} = \frac{1 \times 10^{-16} \,\mathrm{N}/\sqrt{\mathrm{Hz}}}{90 \,\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{km} \,\omega^2}$$
Say, this is 3
• Radiation pressure noise

$$h_{\rm rp} = \frac{1}{m\omega^2 L} \frac{4\mathcal{F}}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{16\pi\hbar P}{c\lambda}} = k_{\rm safe} h_{\rm f}$$
There's no point in reducing the finesse and input power if force noise is larger,

- If you fix requirement for f , requirement for mL is set

in terms of sensitivity.

- If you fix P , finesse ${\mathcal F}$ is set
- Assuming g-factor g=0.3 and L, beam size is calculated
- This gives you the minimum mirror mass from diffraction loss (assume fused silica, aspect ratio t/d = 1)
- Also, if you fix initial alignment accuracy, minimum mirror diameter d is determined from d/L 13

Mirror Mass and Arm Length 6. W. finesse 40? finesse 10 km, 10 kg seems better than 3 km, 30 kg From ore sensi <u>ල</u> 10³ IC. TO NITH Not allowed from diffraction 10² allowed **IOSS** (depends much from force noise on aspect ratio) cf. GRACE-FO launched May 2018 30 kg, 3 km does 220 km FF Not allowed **C-DECIGO** from initial **B-DECIGO** 10 kg, 10 km 10^{0} alignment 10^{1} 10^{0} 10^{2}

arm length [km]

mass

cf. star tracker can do better than 1 arcsec (~5 urad) 14



C-DECIGO Summary

- Multiband gravitational wave astronomy
 - Measure coalescence time of O1/O2 binaries within a few minutes, a few days before the merger
- IMBH search
 - O(10³) Msun IMBH within the whole universe
 - Better than ET/CE and LISA
- C-DECIGO design parameters
 - Arm length: 10 km
 - (Does this reduce the cost? Or increase the feasibility?)
 - Mirror mass: 10 kg
 - Force noise: <1e-16 N/rtHz (same as B-DECIGO)
 - finesse: 400
 - input power: 0.01 W (no high power amp necessary?)
- Better to do B-DECIGO if the cost is similar

Findings

- To do original science in 3G-LISA era,
 - Force noise < ~1e-16 N/rtHz
 - $mL > 90 \,\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{km}$
 - $\sqrt{m}L > 3\sqrt{30}\sqrt{\mathrm{kg}}\cdot\mathrm{km}$ are required
- Fabry-Perot seems more feasible
- Although beam size will be smaller for shorter arm length, it requires heavier mass to keep force noise requirement the same (~ a few kg is the minimum for the test mass)
- Longer arm length is better due to SQL but
 - initial alignment accuracy will be tougher
 - higher power laser will be necessary due to lower finesse (diffraction loss)

Engineering-Driven Approach F-DECIGO (2 kg, 10 km Fabry-Perot)

Motivations

- Demonstration of formation flight
- Demonstration of laser interferometry between satellites
- Full success: technology demonstration (primary target)
- Extra success: IMBH search with unprecedented sensitivity

 to realize this, we have to launch before LISA and
 TianQin (before ~2034)
- Launch within ~5-10 years
- Based on proven technologies
 - 2 kg mass (same mass with LISA/TianQin)
 - 8e-15 N/rtHz force noise (LISA-level)

Force Noise and Finesse

• Larger force noise requires larger P and ${\cal F}$ to reach SQL - for example, for 8e-15 N/rtHz, P=0.01 W and F=3e4 are required and this finesse is not feasible with small test mass



F-DECIGO Design

• Force noise limited sensitivity (could be used to evaluate force noise)





F-DECIGO Summary

- **Demonstration** of key technologies for DECIGO
 - formation flight
 - Fabry-Perot cavity between satellites
 - measure force noise in orbit
- IMBH search
 - O(10³) Msun IMBH to ~3 Gpc (event rate to be calculated)
 - Should launch before LISA/TianQin and ET/CE (before ~2034)
- F-DECIGO design parameters
 - Arm length: 10 km

(Does this reduce the cost? Or increase the feasibility?)

- Mirror mass: 2 kg (same mass as LISA) Fused silica, 10cm dia. 10cm thick
- Force noise: <8e-15 N/rtHz (same as LISA)
- finesse: 100
- input power: 0.01 W (no high power amp necessary?)

Questions

• Mirror density?

- smaller the better to make the mirror large considering diffraction loss

(SQL and force noise do not depend on the density)

- so far fused silica (2.2e3 kg/m³) is assumed
- Michelson?
 - alignment requirement is almost the same with FP (depends on FP cavity geometry, but independent on finesse)
 - FP alignment will be tougher if finesse is very high (input test mass transmission will be smaller)