Requirement for detector sensitivity toward observation of primordial gravitational wave

Kentaro Komori

LIGO Laboratory, Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Ando Lab midterm report 2020, April 22nd

Self introduction

≻Graduated from Ando Lab in March 2019

Worked on optomechanical experiment using torsion pendulum with optical cavities on each edge

➢ Mainly working on demonstration of frequencydependent squeezing in MIT as JSPS Overseas Fellow

➢Will work on LISA and DECIGO in JAXA as a postdoc from this October





Abstract

- Direct observation of primordial GW generated during inflation is one of the biggest goals in our community.
- ≻It is so tiny that we must subtract GW from any other sources such as compact binary inspiral.
- ➢I derive sensitivity requirement to subtract binary inspiral signals and reach primordial GW background.





Summary

- ≻At least, we need an extreme detector as below
 - Mass: $m \sim 1000 \text{ kg}$
 - Input power: $P \sim 100 \text{ W}$
 - Arm length: $L \sim 5000 \text{ km}$
 - Input transmission of cavities: $T\sim 30\%$

➤The result is super preliminary, and every comment is highly appreciated



Contents

- ➢Concept
- ≻Method
- ➢Break: interesting events in O3
- ≻Result
- ≻Future

Beginning of this work

 \succ MIT is shut down.

≻What can I do in this situation? I want to do some analytical works...



arxiv:2002.05365

Subtracting compact binary foreground sources to reveal primordial gravitational-wave backgrounds

Surabhi Sachdev,¹,^a Tania Regimbau,²,^b and B. S. Sathyaprakash^{1,3,4},^c

 ¹Institute for Gravitation and the Cosmos, Physics Department, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, 16802, USA
 ²LAPP, Universit'e Grenoble Alpes, USMB, CNRS/IN2P3, F-74000 Annecy, France
 ³Department of Astronomy & Astrophysics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, 16802, USA
 ⁴School of Physics and Astronomy, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK, CF24 3AA

Detection of primordial gravitational-wave backgrounds generated during the early universe phase transitions is a key science goal for future ground-based detectors. The rate of compact binary mergers is so large that their cosmological population produces a confusion background that could masquerade the detection of potential primordial stochastic backgrounds. In this paper we study the ability of current and future detectors to resolve the confusion background to reveal interesting primordial backgrounds. The current detector network of LIGO and Virgo and the upcoming KAGRA and LIGO-India will not be able to resolve the cosmological compact binary source population and its sensitivity to stochastic background will be limited by the confusion background of these sources. We find that a network of three (and five) third generation (3G) detectors of Cosmic Explorer and Einstein Telescope will resolve the confusion background produced by binary black holes leaving only about 0.013% (respectively, 0.00075%) unresolved. Consequently, the binary black hole population will likely not limit observation of primordial backgrounds but the binary neutron star population will limit the sensitivity of 3G detectors is $\Omega_{\rm GW} \sim 10^{-11}$ at 10 Hz (respectively, $\Omega_{\rm GW} \sim 3 \times 10^{-12}$).

6

Try to do the same thing at deci-Hz and compare to primordial GW background!

Previous work

 Subtraction of GW from compact binary for DECIGO
 Realistic subtraction including detailed process



Estimation of residual error after subtraction with Fisher matrix

An actual error can be larger, but this method is much more simple and it gives a fundamental limit

arxiv:2002.05365



Assumption in current work



 $\Omega_{rec} + \Omega_{error} + \Omega_{unres}$

$$\Omega_{error} = T^{-1} \frac{4\pi^2}{3H_0^2} f^3 \sum_{k=1}^{N} (h_k^{true} - h_k^{rec})^2 \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{Assuming merger} \\ \text{distribution} \end{array}$$

Merger distribution





 $\Omega_{rec} + \Omega_{error} + \Omega_{unres}$

$$\Omega_{error} = T^{-1} \frac{4\pi^2}{3H_0^2} f^3 \sum_{k=1}^{N} (h_k^{true} - h_k^{rec})^2$$

With error calculated by Fisher matrix 13

Inspiral waveform and Fisher matrix $h(f) = A f^{-7/6} e^{i\Psi(f)} \qquad \Psi(f) = 2\pi f t_c - \phi_c - \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{3}{128} \left(\frac{f}{f_c}\right)$ $A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{30\pi^3}} \frac{c}{d_L} f_c^{-5/6} \qquad f_c = \frac{c^3}{\pi G(1+z)M_c} \longleftarrow \text{ chirp mass}$ **OPN** distance - Fisher matrix - $\Gamma_{ij} = 4 \int_{f_L}^{f_H} df \, Re \left[\frac{h_{\theta_i}^*(f)h_{\theta_j}(f)}{S_n(f)} \right]$ ► Assuming (only) 4 parameters: $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\log A, t_c, \phi_c, \log M_c)$ $h_{\theta_i}(f) = \frac{\partial h(f)}{\partial \theta_i}$ 14

Inspiral waveform and Fisher matrix

$$\Gamma_{ij} = 4 \int_{f_L}^{f_H} df \, Re \left[\frac{h_{\theta_i}^*(f)h_{\theta_j}(f)}{S_n(f)} \right] \qquad \rho^2 = 4 \int_{f_L}^{f_H} \frac{|0|^{H_L} or fisco}{|h(f)|^2} \int_{SNR}^{f_H} \frac{|h(f)|^2}{S_n(f)}$$

Fundamental error of the parameter corresponds to diagonal component of inversed matrix $AA = (\Gamma^{-1})$

$$h(f) = A f^{-7/6} e^{i\Psi(f)}$$
random number generator
$$\Omega_{error} = T^{-1} \frac{4\pi^2}{3H_0^2} f^3 \sum_{k=1}^{N} (h_k^{true} - h_k^{rec})^2$$
15

Result with DECIGO



➢BNS at z>1.8 has SNR<8, unresolved

 $\triangleright \Delta \phi_c$ is largest, 0.1~1

The unresolved signal and error veil $\Omega_{GW} < 10^{-13}$!

16



More and more extreme...!

• Fixed configuration and parameters

← ≻1000 kg **≻**FPMI ➢ beam radius ➢ quantum noise (waist): 0.75 m = loss: 0.03 % ≻532 nm Laser ≻diameter: 3 m ►Input: 100W = thickness: 6.4 cm ≻fixed = aspect ratio: 1:47

- Variable
 - arm lengthinput transmission

input transmission

$$R_{end} = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}\frac{z_R}{L^2 + z_R^2}r^2\right)$$

 $R_{end} = 0.5 @L \sim 10000 \text{ km}$





Result





>All BNS detected with SNR>140
>∆φ_c is below 0.01
>Fundamentally can reach inflation level



19

Future

≻More precise distribution

• time delay, local rate

≻BBH (the error should be much lower)

• mass distribution

≻Lower limit of integration is 0.1 Hz?

• BNS takes ~7 years from 0.1 Hz to merger v.s. current assumed 1-year observation

>Multiple inspirals in the same frequency bin - fundamentally unresolved

Detector configuration

- Other valuable?
- How many detectors?
- What is realistic assumption such as power and mirror mass?
- Changing antenna pattern

Summary

- ≻At least, we need an extreme detector as below
 - Mass: $m \sim 1000 \text{ kg}$
 - Input power: $P \sim 100 \text{ W}$
 - Arm length: $L \sim 5000 \text{ km}$
 - Input transmission of cavities: $T\sim 30\%$

➤The result is super preliminary, and every comment is highly appreciated

